

# INFLUENCE OF DIETARY REPLACEMENT OF SUNFLOWER OIL WITH MILK THISTLE (SILYBUM MARIANUM) OIL ON FATTENING CHARACTERISTICS AND MARKET VALUE OF BROILER CARCASSES

---

Kralik, Zlata; Kralik, Gordana; Radišić, Žarko; Kralik, Igor; Hanžek, Danica

Source / Izvornik: *Poljoprivreda*, 2015, 21, 61 - 65

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.18047/poljo.21.2.10>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:151:414622>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom](#).

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-07-30**



Sveučilište Josipa Jurja  
Strossmayera u Osijeku

**Fakultet  
agrobiotehničkih  
znanosti Osijek**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Agrobiotechnical  
Sciences Osijek - Repository of the Faculty of  
Agrobiotechnical Sciences Osijek](#)



# **Influence of dietary replacement of sunflower oil with milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) oil on fattening characteristics and market value of broiler carcasses**

Utjecaj zamjene suncokretovog ulja uljem sikavice (*Silybum marianum*) na toвна svojstva, kvalitetu i tržišnu vrijednost trupova brojlera

**Kralik, Z., Kralik, G., Radišić, Ž., Kralik, I., Hanžek, D.**

**Poljoprivreda/Agriculture**

ISSN: 1848-8080 (Online)

ISSN: 1330-7142 (Print)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18047/poljo.21.2.10>



**Poljoprivredni fakultet u Osijeku, Poljoprivredni institut Osijek**

Faculty of Agriculture in Osijek, Agricultural Institute Osijek

# INFLUENCE OF DIETARY REPLACEMENT OF SUNFLOWER OIL WITH MILK THISTLE (*Silybum marianum*) OIL ON FATTENING CHARACTERISTICS AND MARKET VALUE OF BROILER CARCASSES

Kralik, Z., Kralik, G., Radišić, Ž., Kralik, I., Hanžek, D.

Original scientific paper  
Izvorni znanstveni članak

## SUMMARY

*The paper investigates the influence of dietary replacement of 3% sunflower oil (SO group) with 3% milk thistle oil (MTO group) in broiler diets on fattening characteristics and carcass quality. During 42 days of fattening, MTO group achieved insignificantly higher live weight (2.21 kg : 2.19 kg;  $P > 0.05$ ), significantly better feed conversion (1.80 kg : 1.83 kg;  $P = 0.034$ ) and production number (292.33: 285.33;  $P = 0.013$ ) compared to the SO broiler group. Carcass weight and portion of main parts did not statistically significantly differ between the groups ( $P > 0.05$ ). Market value of carcass cuts and breast fillets was higher in MTO group than in SO group. Lipids of breast and thigh muscles in the SO group contained significantly higher concentration of total n-3 PUFA (2.48% : 1.91%,  $P = 0.049$ , i.e. 2.29% : 1.57%;  $P < 0.001$ ) than MTO group.*

**Key-words:** milk thistle oil, sunflower oil, carcass quality, FA profile, market value

## INTRODUCTION

Feeding mixtures used for broilers fattening are often supplemented with various types of oil, in order to provide energy value of diets and to use the benefits of fat-soluble vitamins. In the presented research, milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) oil was used as a dietary substitute for sunflower oil in broiler feed. Milk thistle is a plant used for production of silymarin - an ingredient, which has antioxidant effects, absorbs free radicals and has anti-inflammatory activity (Fiebrich and Koch, 1979; Bosisio et al., 1992; De La Puerta et al., 1996). Cold pressed oil of milk thistle is an excellent source of linoleic acid (C18: 2, n-6) being important in synthesis of biologically active substances such as prostaglandin, prostacyclin, tromboselices and other substances that regulate metabolism. Suchy et al. (2008) reported that supplementation of *Silybum marianum* seed cakes to chicken broiler diets resulted in non-significant reduce of weight gain and feed conversion, if compared to the control.

The research of Shivanone et al. (2007) showed that silymarin in broiler diets did not affect broiler growth whereas Blevins et al. (2010) and Mojahedtalab

et al. (2013) pointed out that such dietary supplementation reduced feed consumption and conversion, but did not affect weight gain. Mekala et al. (2006), Gawel et al. (2003), as well as Wojcik et al. (2002) investigated the effects of silymarin in feed on performances of chicken and turkey broilers. However, the results obtained were contradictory. On the contrary, Kalantar et al. (2014) reported that 0.5% of *Silybum marianum* extract supplemented to broiler diets did improve broiler performances.

The aim of the presented research was to determine influence of dietary replacement of sunflower oil with milk thistle oil on performances of broilers and carcass quality.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was carried out on 60 Cobb 500 broilers, 30 of which (3 x 10) belonged to the group

Assist. Prof. Zlata Kralik (zlata.kralik@pfos.hr), Prof. Emer. Dr. Dr. h. c. Gordana Kralik, Žarko Radišić, M. Eng. Agr., Assist. Prof. Igor Kralik, Danica Hanžek, M. Eng. Agr. - Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Agriculture in Osijek, K.P. Svačića 1 d, 31000 Osijek, Croatia

fed sunflower oil (SO), and 30 broilers (3 x 10) fed milk thistle oil (MTO). During the first three weeks of fattening broilers consumed powdered starter diets of the same composition.

At the beginning of the 4<sup>th</sup> fattening week (since 22<sup>nd</sup> day), broilers were randomly divided into two groups and fed pelleted finisher diets of modified composition. Feeding and watering of broilers was *ad libitum* and automatically regulated. Composition and nutritive value of starter and finisher diets was presented in the Table 1.

**Table 1. Composition of broiler diets**

Tablica 1. Sastav smjesa za brojere

| Ingredient, %<br>Sastojak, %                                  | Starter diet<br>1 <sup>st</sup> – 21 <sup>st</sup> day | Finisher diet 22 <sup>nd</sup> – 42 <sup>nd</sup> day |                          |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|
|   | Starter smjesa<br>1.-21. dan                           | SO group<br>SO skupina                                | MTO group<br>MTO skupina |
| Corn  | 51.50  | 61.10   | 61.20                    |
| Alfalfa   | 2.50   | 3.00  | 3.00                     |
| Protein gold  | 2.00   | -   | -                        |
| Soybean roasted   | 9.00   | -   | -                        |
| Soybean meal (46%)  | 29.50  | 27.80   | 27.80                    |
| Sunflower oil   | 0.50   | 3.00  | -                        |
| <i>Silybum marianum</i> oil                                   | -  | -   | 3.00                     |
| Premix*   | 5.00   | 5.00  | 5.00                     |
| Total   | 100.00   | 100.00  | 100.00                   |
| **Chemical analysis of diets – <i>Kemijska analiza smjesa</i> |  |   |                          |
| Water, g/kg   | 96   | 100   | 102                      |
| Ash, g/kg   | 60   | 56  | 61                       |
| Crude protein, g/kg   | 243.9  | 200.7   | 200.8                    |
| Fat, g/kg   | 47   | 57  | 55                       |
| Crude fibres, g/kg  | 44   | 43  | 43                       |
| Calcium, g/kg   | 11.1   | 10.6  | 11.3                     |
| Phosphor, g/kg  | 4.8  | 4.3   | 4.5                      |
| Sodium, g/kg  | 1.9  | 1.8   | 1.9                      |
| Sugar, g/kg   | 50.6   | 47.6  | 45.0                     |
| Starch, g/kg  | 329.8  | 388.9   | 371.9                    |

SO 3% sunflower oil; MTO 3% milk thistle oil; \*Premix (1 kg) contains: vitamin A 300,000.00 I.J; vitamin D3 40,000.00 I.J; vitamin E 600.00 mg; vitamin K3 40.00 mg; vitamin B1 20.00 mg; vitamin B2 120.00 mg; vitamin B6 40.00 mg; vitamin B12 300.00 mg; vitamin C 300.00 mg; niacin 800.00 mg; pantothenic acid 240.00 mg; folic acid 10.00 mg; biotin 2.00 mg; choline chloride 10,000.00 mg; iodine 12.0 mg; iron 500.00 mg; copper 75.00 mg; manganese 1,600.00 mg; zinc 1,000.00 mg; cobalt 3.00 mg; selenium 3.00 mg; antioxidant 2,000.00 mg; calcium min. 38.00 g; sodium min. 23.00 g; methionine 55,000.00 mg; lysine 24,000.00 mg; \*\*Chemical food analysis was performed according to reference methods: M-2 (HRN ISO 6496:2001), M-3 (HRN ISO 5984:2004), M-4 (HRN EN ISO 5983-2:2010), M-5 (HRN ISO 6492:2001), M-6 (HRN EN ISO 6865:2001), M-12 (HRN ISO 6491:2001), M-13 (HRN ISO 7485:2001), M-14 (AA/AMG; AOAC-996.11

Feed conversion was calculated as a ratio of consumed feed and weight gain. After 42 days, broilers were weighed, slaughtered and defeathered. Carcasses were processed according to the EC regulations 543/2008 and cut into the main parts: breasts, drumsticks with thighs, backs and wings. Dressing percentage was

presented in % as a ratio of carcass weight and broiler live weight.

Production number (PN) was calculated as follows: (percentage of fattened broilers x average live weight) / (duration of fattening period x feed conversion) x 100.

Content of fatty acids in diets and meat was determined by the Chrompack CP-9000 chromatograph equipped with flame ionization detector. Quantitative evaluation was obtained on the basis of percentage relations of chromatographic peaks of pure methyl ester towards the height of chromatographic peaks of samples. Percentage portion of fatty acids in a sample was calculated as a function of comparative weight percentage of fatty acid methyl ester (Csapó et al., 1986). Fatty acids were presented as % of total fatty acids.

Prices valid on the Croatian market (carcass 20 HRK/kg, breast and drumsticks with thighs 25 HRK/kg, wings 21 HRK/kg and backs 15 HRK/kg, breast fillet 45 HRK/kg) were taken as a basis for calculation of broiler carcass market value. Average market value of broilers in SO and MTO group was determined according to the portion of main parts in carcass and their market value.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed by using the GLM procedure for two treatments (SO and MTO). Obtained differences between groups were tested by the Fisher's LSD test. Significant differences between experimental groups were assigned with different subscripts (<sup>a</sup>,<sup>b</sup>P<0.05, <sup>A</sup>,<sup>B</sup>P<0.001).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Concentrations of fatty acids in sunflower and milk thistle oils, as well as in starter and finisher (SO and MTO) diets are presented in the Table 2. In comparison with milk thistle oil, sunflower oil contains more  $\Sigma$ SFA (18.50% : 12.43%), and less  $\Sigma$ n-6 PUFA (58.85% : 63.11%). Sunflower oil contains more  $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA than milk thistle oil (0.41% : 0.30%) having narrower n-6/n-3 ratio. Rusníková et al. (2012) conducted chemical analysis to prove that milk thistle oil contained 6.13-6.29% palmitic acid (C 16:0), 3.70-3.81% stearic acid (C 18:0), 19.56-20.36% oleic acid (C 18:1), 58.42-60.83% linoleic acid (C18:2) and 0.24-0.26%  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (C 18:3). Due to the high content of linoleic acid, milk thistle oil is similar to sunflower oil. The analysis of finisher diets determined equal concentrations of n-6 PUFA in both mixtures (58.05% : 58.06%). Due to higher concentration of n-3 PUFA in finisher diets of SO group than of MTO group, the n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio was narrower (24.91 : 51.38) in SO than in MTO group. If compared to SO group, higher production number in MTO broiler group resulted from their better performances.

**Table 2. Concentrations of fatty acids in plant oils and chickens' diet**

Tablica 2. Koncentracije masnih kiselina u biljnim uljima i hrani za piliće

| Fatty acid<br><i>Masna kiselina</i> | Sunflower oil<br><i>Suncokretovo ulje</i> | Milk thistle oil<br><i>Ulje sikavice</i> | Starter diet<br><i>Starter smjese</i> | Finisher diets<br><i>Finišer smjese</i> |                                 |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
|                                     |   |  |                                       | SO group<br><i>SO skupina</i>           | MTO group<br><i>MTO skupina</i> |
| ∑ SFA                               | 18.50                                     | 12.43                                    | 18.94                                 | 13.73                                   | 16.92                           |
| ∑ MUFA                              | 22.24                                     | 24.16                                    | 24.74                                 | 25.89                                   | 23.89                           |
| ∑ n-6 PUFA                          | 58.85                                     | 63.11                                    | 53.23                                 | 58.05                                   | 58.06                           |
| ∑ n-3 PUFA                          | 0.41                                      | 0.30                                     | 3.09                                  | 2.33                                    | 1.13                            |

SO 3% sunflower oil; MTO 3% milk thistle oil

∑ SFA = C12:0 + C14:0 + C15:0 + C16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0 + C20:0 + C22:0

∑ MUFA = C14:1 + C16:1 + C16:1n-7 + C18:1n-7 + C18:1n-9t + C18:1n-9c + C18:1 isomers + C20:1n-9

∑ n-6 PUFA = C18:2n-6 + C20:2n-6 + C20:3n-6 + C18:4n-6 + C18:2 isomers

∑ n-3 PUFA = C18:3n-3 + C20:3n-3

The Table 3 overviews fattening characteristics (live weight, feed conversion and production number) for both SO and MTO groups. Average live weight of broilers differed between groups by only 20 g, thus, being not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). When compared to SO

group, MTO group achieved better feed conversion (for 30 g;  $P = 0.034$ ) and higher production number (for 7 points,  $P = 0.013$ ), the differences of which were statistically significant.

**Table 3. Indicators of broilers' fattening characteristics**

Tablica 3. Pokazatelji tovnih svojstava brojlera

| Indicator - <i>Pokazatelj</i> | SO group – <i>SO skupina</i> | MTO group – <i>MTO skupina</i> | P value – <i>P vrijednost</i> |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Live weight, kg               | 2.19 ± 0.23                  | 2.21 ± 0.31                    | 0.911                         |
| Feed conversion, kg           | 1.83 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.015    | 1.80 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.010      | <b>0.034</b>                  |
| Production number             | 285.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.58   | 292.33 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.52     | <b>0.013</b>                  |

SO 3% sunflower oil; MTO 3% milk thistle oil; <sup>a,b</sup>  $P < 0.05$ 

Comparison of results referring to fattening characteristics of both SO and MTO groups was partially in accordance with the research results of Blevins et al. (2010), Suchy et al. (2008), Shiavone et al. (2007), as well as Mojahedtalab et al. (2013), and completely in accordance with the result published by Kalantar et al. (2014). Quality indicators of Cobb 500 broiler carcasses are presented in the Table 4. The research was focused on carcass weight (g), dressing percentage (%), absolute (g) and relative (%) portions of main parts in carcass. Statistical data analysis indicated that differences in

the mentioned indicators between SO and MTO groups were not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). Within this research, portions of breasts in broiler carcasses were higher than those stated by Kralik et al. (2010) for Ross broilers. Kralik G. et al. (2011) determined that Cobb 500 broilers gained 2022 g of live weight during 42 days of fattening, the dressing percentage was 70.35%, and portions of breasts and drumsticks with thighs in carcass were 37.39% and 29.45%, respectively, being in accordance with the present research.

**Table 4. Carcass quality traits (n=30)**

Tablica 4. Pokazatelji kvalitete trupova (n=30)

| Indicator<br><i>Pokazatelj</i>                  | SO group ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )<br><i>SO skupina (<math>\bar{x} \pm s</math>)</i> | MTO group ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )<br><i>MTO skupina (<math>\bar{x} \pm s</math>)</i> | P value<br><i>P vrijednost</i> |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Carcass weight, g                               | 1568.53 ± 167.27   | 1583.87 ± 245.33   | 0.778                          |
| Dressing percentage, %                          | 71.34 ± 0.72   | 71.63 ± 1.73   | 0.310                          |
| Breast weight, g                                | 587.07 ± 66.80   | 592.80 ± 106.45  | 0.804                          |
| Portion of breast in carcass, %                 | 37.43 ± 1.62   | 37.29 ± 2.43   | 0.794                          |
| Thighs with drumsticks weight, g                | 461.47 ± 51.34   | 461.07 ± 66.30   | 0.979                          |
| Portion of thighs with drumsticks in carcass, % | 29.43 ± 1.11   | 29.26 ± 2.03   | 0.683                          |
| Back weight, g                                  | 347.47 ± 48.54   | 361.60 ± 65.64   | 0.347                          |
| Portion of back in carcass, %                   | 22.11 ± 1.54   | 22.74 ± 1.39   | 0.100                          |
| Wings weight, g                                 | 172.53 ± 16.58   | 168.40 ± 20.95   | 0.400                          |
| Portion of wings in carcass, %                  | 11.03 ± 0.18   | 10.71 ± 0.76   | 0.093                          |

SO 3% sunflower oil, MTO 3% milk thistle oil;  $\bar{x}$  = arithmetic means; s = standard deviation

The Table 5 shows the content of fatty acids in broiler breast and thigh muscles. Breast muscles of MTO group contained higher concentrations of  $\Sigma$ SFA and  $\Sigma$ MUFA, and lower concentrations of  $\Sigma$ n-6 PUFA. Differences between groups were not statistically significant ( $P>0.05$ ). Total n-3 PUFA was statistically significantly higher in SO than in MTO group for both types of muscles ( $P<0.05$ ). The n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio was more favorable in breast muscle lipids of SO group than of MTO group. Kralik et al. (2012) reported on the importance of  $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA and the n-6/n-3 ratio in broiler breasts for human nutrition. In comparison with SO group, the chemical analysis of thigh muscle of MTO group proved statistically significantly higher concentration of  $\Sigma$ SFA ( $P<0.05$ ) and lower concentration of  $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA ( $P<0.001$ ).

Market value of carcass cuts was determined on the basis of summarized market values of carcass main parts (Table 6). The value was higher if compared to price of whole carcass (SO group 31.13 HRK/kg; MTO group 31.66 HRK/kg). Market value of breast fillets (Table 7) was higher in MTO group than in SO group. The most favourable market value of broiler carcass is achieved by its cutting into main parts and by deboning of breast muscles, i.e. by selling of breast fillets. In their previous research, Kralik I. et al. (2011) stated that, in Croatian conditions, it was more profitable to sell broiler meat cuts rather than whole carcasses.

**Table 5. Content of fatty acids in lipids of broiler breast and thigh muscles (% of total fatty acids, n = 5)**

Tablica 5. Sadržaj masnih kiselina u lipidima mišića prsa i zabataka brojlera (% u ukupnim masnim kiselinama, n = 5)

| Fatty acid –<br>Masna<br>kiselina | SO group ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )<br>SO skupina ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ ) | MTO group ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )<br>MTO skupina ( $\bar{x} \pm s$ ) | P value<br>P vrijednost |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|
| Breast muscles – Mišići prsa      |  |  |                         |
| $\Sigma$ SFA                      | 25.99 $\pm$ 2,22   | 27.61 $\pm$ 1,58   | 0.222                   |
| $\Sigma$ MUFA                     | 33.75 $\pm$ 3.16   | 37.05 $\pm$ 2.83   | 0.119                   |
| $\Sigma$ n-6 PUFA                 | 37.78 $\pm$ 3.72   | 33.43 $\pm$ 3.28   | 0.085                   |
| $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA                 | 2.48 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.46                                   | 1.91 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.32                                     | <b>0.049</b>            |
| Thigh muscles – Mišići zabataka   |  |  |                         |
| $\Sigma$ SFA                      | 23.30 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1,43                                  | 26,08 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1,59                                    | <b>0.019</b>            |
| $\Sigma$ MUFA                     | 34.52 $\pm$ 0.02   | 37.30 $\pm$ 2.43   | 0.127                   |
| $\Sigma$ n-6 PUFA                 | 39.89 $\pm$ 3.88   | 35.05 $\pm$ 3.74   | 0.079                   |
| $\Sigma$ n-3 PUFA                 | 2.29 <sup>A</sup> $\pm$ 0.25                                   | 1.57 <sup>B</sup> $\pm$ 0.15                                     | <b>&lt;0.001</b>        |

SO 3% sunflower oil, MTO 3% milk thistle oil;  $\bar{x}$  = arithmetic means; s = standard deviation; <sup>a,b</sup>P<0.05, <sup>A,B</sup>P<0.001

$\Sigma$  SFA = C12:0 + C14:0 + C15:0, 16:0 + C17:0 + C18:0 + C22:0

$\Sigma$  MUFA = C14:1 + C16:1 + C16:1n-7 + C18:1 + C18:1n9t + C18:1n9c + C18:1 isomer + C20:1n9

$\Sigma$  n-6 PUFA = C18:2 isomers + C12:2n6 + C18:3n6 + C20:2n6 + C20:3n6 + C20:4n6 + C22:4n6

$\Sigma$  n-3 PUFA = C18:3n3 + C20:3n3 + C20:5n3 + C22:6n3

**Table 6. The market value of main parts and carcasses of broilers (HRK)**

Tablica 6. Tržišna vrijednost osnovnih dijelova i trupova brojlera (kn)

| Group<br>Skupina | Breast<br>Prsa | Thighs with drumsticks<br>Batak + zabatak | Back<br>Leđa | Wings<br>Krila | Carcass value<br>Vrijednost trupova |                              |
|------------------|----------------|---|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                  |                |   |              |                | In parts<br>U dijelovima            | Whole carcass<br>Cijeli trup |
| SO               | 14.67          | 11.52                                     | 5.20         | 3.61           | 35.00                               | 31.13                        |
| MTO              | 14.85          | 11.52                                     | 4.41         | 3.53           | 35.31                               | 31.66                        |

SO 3% sunflower oil, MTO 3% milk thistle oil

**Table 7. Weight and market value of broiler breast muscles**

Tablica 7. Masa i tržišna vrijednost filea u trupovima brojlera

| Skupina<br>Group | Breast muscles (g)<br>Prsni file (g) |       | Market value of breast muscles (HRK)<br>Tržišna vrijednost prsnog filea (kn) | Market value<br>(parts + breast muscles), HRK<br>Tržišna vrijednost<br>(dijelovi + prsni file), kn |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
|                  | Weight<br>Masa                       | %     |  |  |
| SO               | 449.05                               | 76.50 | 20.22  | 40.55  |
| MTO              | 464.37                               | 78.31 | 20.89  | 41.35  |

SO 3% sunflower oil, MTO 3% milk thistle oil

## CONCLUSION

The presented research proved that it was possible to replace sunflower oil with milk thistle oil in broilers feeding. The MTO broiler group achieved better feed conversion and higher production number than SO group. There were no statistically significant differences

determined for quality of carcasses between SO and MTO groups ( $P>0.05$ ). Fatty acid profile was more favorable in breast and thigh muscle lipids of SO than of MTO broiler groups. Market value of carcass cuts and breast fillets was higher in MTO group than in SO group of broilers.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The paper is a result of the research project „Pig and Poultry Growth Characteristics and Quality of Products“ (079-0790566-0567) financed by The Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of The Republic of Croatia.

## REFERENCES

- Blevins, S., Siegel, P.B., Blodgett, D.J., Ehrich, M., Saunders, G.K., Lewis, R.M. (2010): Effects of silymarin on gossypol toxicosis in divergent lines of chickens. *Poultry Science*, 89: 1878-1886. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2010-00768>
- Bosisio, E., Beneli, C., Pirola, O. (1992): Effect of the flavanolignans of *Silybum marianum* L. on lipid peroxidation in rat liver microsomes and freshly isolated hepatocytes. *Pharmacological Research*, 25(2):147-165. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1043-6618\(92\)91383-R](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1043-6618(92)91383-R)
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 543/2008 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 as regards the marketing standards for poultry meat.
- Csapó, J, Sugár L, Horn A, Csapó Jne. (1986): Chemical composition of milk from red deer, roe and fallow deer kept in captivity. *Acta Agronomica Hungarica* 3-4: 359-372.
- De La Puerta, R., Martinez, E., Bravo, L. (1996): Effect of silymarin on different acute inflammation models and leucocyte migration. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 48: 968-970. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1996.tb06014.x>
- Fiebrich, F., Koch, H. (1979): Silymarin, an inhibitor of lipoxygenase. *Experientia* 35: 1548-1560.
- Gawel, A., Kotonski, B., Madej, J.A., Mazurkiewicz, M. (2003): Effect of silymarin on chicken and turkey broilers' rearing and the production indices of reproduction hen flocks. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna* 59(6): 517-520.
- Kalantar, M., Salry, J., Nouri Sanami, M., Khojastekey, M., Hemati Matin, H.R. (2014): Dietary supplementa-  
tion of *Silybum marianum* or *Curcuma* spp on health characteristics and broiler chicken performance. *Global Journal of Animal Scientific Research*, 2(1): 58-63.
- Kralik G., Kralik Z., Grčević M., Škrčić Z. (2012): Obogaćivanje peradarskih proizvoda funkcionalnim sastojcima. *Poljoprivreda* 18(1): 52-59.
- Kralik G., Medić H., Marušić N., Gajčević-Kralik Z., Kičec, Z. (2010): Sadržaj nutrienata i nutricina – karnozina u tamnome mesu pilića. *Poljoprivreda*, 16(1):62-66.
- Kralik G., Škrčić Z., Kralik Z., Grčević M. (2011): Kvaliteta trupova i mesa Cobb 500 i Hubbard Classic brojerskih pilića. *Krmiva* 53(5): 179-186.
- Kralik, I., Budimir, K., Tolušić, Z. (2011): Tržišna vrijednost trupova suvremenih hibrida pilića. *Krmiva*, 53(6): 236-231.
- Mekala, P., Punniamurthy, N., Hariharan, P. (2006): Protective effect of curcumin and silymarin against aflatoxicosis in broiler chicken. *Indian Veterinary Journal*, 83(5): 501-503.
- Mojahedtalab, A.R., Mohammadi, M., Roostaei-Ali Mehr, M., Asadi, M. (2013): Effect of silymarin on performance and immune responses of broilers. *Animal Production Research*, 2(3): 49-58.
- Rusníková, L., Straková, E., Mikulec, Ž. (2012): Quality of oils from market. *Krmiva*, 54(4): 133-138.
- Shiavone, A., Righi, F., Quarantelli, A., Bruni, R., Serventi, P., Fusari, A. (2007): Use of *Silybum marianum* fruit extract in broiler chicken nutrition: influence on performance and meat quality. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* 91: 256-262. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2007.00701.x>
- Suchy, Jr. P., Straková, E., Kummer, V., Herzig, I., Písařková, V., Blechová, R., Mašková, J. (2008): Hepatoprotective effects of milk thistle (*Silybum marianum*) seed cakes during the chicken broiler fattening. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, 77: 31-38. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2754/avb200877010031>
- Wojcik, S., Niedzwiedek, T., Adamczik, M. (2002): The effectiveness of silymarin in finishing broilers. *Biuletyn Naukowy Przemysłu Paszowego*, 41: 5-14.

## UTJECAJ ZAMJENE SUNCOKRETOVOG ULJA ULJEM SIKAVICE (*Silybum marianum*) NA TOVNA SVOJSTVA, KVALITETU I TRŽIŠNU VRIJEDNOST TRUPOVA BROJLERA

### SAŽETAK

**U radu se istražuje utjecaj zamjene 3% suncokretovog ulja (SO skupina) s 3% ulja sikavice (MTO skupina) u hrani pilića na toвна svojstva i kvalitetu trupova. MTO skupina pilića postigla je nesigifikantno veću živu masu (2,21 kg : 2,19 kg;  $P > 0,05$ ) za 42 dana tova, sigifikantno bolju konverziju hrane (1,80 kg : 1,83 kg;  $P = 0,034$ ) i proizvodni broj (292,33 : 285,33;  $P = 0,013$ ) od SO skupine pilića. Masa trupa i udjeli osnovnih dijelova nisu se statistički značajno razlikovali između skupina ( $P > 0,05$ ). Tržišna vrijednost konfekcioniranih trupova i prsnoga filea bila je veća kod MTO skupine u odnosu na SO skupinu brojlera. Lipidi mišića prsa i zabataka sadržavali su značajno veće koncentracije suma n-3 PUFA (2,48% : 1,91%,  $P = 0,049$ , odnosno 2,29% : 1,57%;  $P < 0,001$ ) kod SO u odnosu na MTO skupinu pilića.**

**Ključne riječi:** ulje sikavice, suncokretovo ulje, kvaliteta trupova, FA profil, tržišna vrijednost

(Received on 27 March 2015; accepted on 17 November 2015 - Primljeno 27. ožujka 2015.; prihvaćeno 17. studenog 2015.)